STATISTICAL MOLECULAR Thermodynamics

Christopher J. Cramer

Video 13.4

Activities and Other Thermodynamic Quantities

PREDICTING CELL POTENTIALS

Now, consider a full cell as below *but* with pH held at 7.0 and [Cl–] buffered to 10–3 M (for simplicity, taking activities equal to molarities):

$$
AgCl_{(s)} + e^{-} \rightharpoonup Cl_{(aq)}^{-} + Ag_{(s)} \rightharpoonup E^{o} = 0.222 \text{ V}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2}H_{2(g)} \rightharpoonup H_{(aq)}^{+} + e^{-} \rightharpoonup E^{o} = 0.000 \text{ V}
$$
\n
$$
AgCl_{(s)} + \frac{1}{2}H_{2(g)} \rightharpoonup H_{(aq)}^{+} + Cl_{(aq)}^{-} + Ag_{(s)} \rightharpoonup E^{o} = 0.222 \text{ V}
$$
\n
$$
E = E^{o} - \frac{RT}{1 \cdot F} \ln \frac{a_{Ag}a_{H^{+}}a_{Cl^{-}}}{a_{AgCl}\sqrt{a_{H_{2}}}} \rightharpoonup H_{(300 \text{ K})} \rightharpoonup H_{(1 \cdot 10^{-7} \cdot 10^{-3})}^{o}
$$
\n
$$
= 0.222 \text{ V} - \frac{\left(8.3145 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}\right)\left(300 \text{ K}\right)}{\left(96,485 \text{ C mol}^{-1}\right)} \ln \left(\frac{1 \cdot 10^{-7} \cdot 10^{-3}}{1 \cdot \sqrt{1}}\right) = 0.817 \text{ V}
$$

DETERMINING ACTIVITY COEFFICIENTS

We've discussed vapor pressure measurements to get activities (cf. videos 11.3 and 11.6), but measuring cell potentials is still more convenient. Thus, for example, recalling the Nernst equation for a 1-1 electrolyte solution may be expressed as

$$
E = E^{\rm o} - \frac{2RT}{F} \Big(\ln m + \ln \gamma_{\pm} \Big)
$$

we may rearrange and solve for the log of the mean ionic activity coefficient as

$$
\ln \gamma_{\pm} = \frac{F}{2RT} \left(E - E^{\circ} \right) - \ln m
$$

DETERMINING ACTIVITY COEFFICIENTS

$$
\ln \gamma_{\pm} = \frac{F}{2RT} \left(E - E^{\circ} \right) - \ln m
$$

Thus, variations in the cell voltage as a function of the molality of the electrolyte provide activity coefficients directly, which is quite simple to measure, in practice.

 (b) Concentration (mol/Kg) 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 -0.2 -0.4 -0.6 ±A 6o7 -0.8 -1 -1.2 -1.4 -1.6 -1.8 ■ AICI3 Model \Box A₂(SO₄)₃ Model

Note much larger non-ideality of Al₂(SO₄)₃ compared to AlCl₃, as *expected given the larger charge of the sulfate ion compared to chloride*

Self-assessment

As the concentration approaches zero, what should the relation between the slopes of the two activity coefficient curves be?

Self-assessment Explained

$$
\ln \gamma_{\pm} = -\left| q_{X^{x+}} q_{Y^{y-}} \right| \left(\frac{\kappa}{8 \pi \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon k_B T} \right)
$$

$$
\kappa^2 = \frac{2N_A e^2}{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon k_B T} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k c_j z_j^2 \right)
$$

Recall from Debye-Hückel theory that $\ln \gamma_+$ goes as minus the square root of ionic strength near infinite dilution. Thus, we compute

the ionic strength I

$$
\ln \gamma_{\pm} (\text{AlCl}_{3}) \propto -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} (c \cdot 3^{2} + 3c \cdot 1^{2})} = -\sqrt{6c}
$$

$$
\ln \gamma_{\pm} (\text{Al}_{2} (\text{SO}_{4})_{3}) \propto -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2} (2c \cdot 3^{2} + 3c \cdot 2^{2})} = -\sqrt{15c}
$$

The graph on the prior slide should not have $ln\gamma_{+}$ linear in *c* near infinite dilution, but rather in $c^{(1/2)}$, but the above equations make clear that the slope for $\mathsf{Al}_2(\mathsf{SO}_4)_3$ should be greater than that for AlCl_3 , as observed.

Determining Enthalpy and Entropy

The relationship between standard free energy of reaction and standard cell potential permits measurement of standard enthalpies and entropies of reaction from variations in cell potentials as a function of *T*, i.e.,

$$
\Delta S_{\rm r}^{\rm o} = -\left(\frac{\partial \Delta G_{\rm r}^{\rm o}}{\partial T}\right)_P \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta H_{\rm r}^{\rm o} = \Delta G_{\rm r}^{\rm o} - T\left(\frac{\partial \Delta G_{\rm r}^{\rm o}}{\partial T}\right)_P
$$

implies

$$
\Delta S_{\Gamma}^{O} = nF \left(\frac{\partial E^{O}}{\partial T} \right)_{P} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta H_{\Gamma}^{O} = -nFE^{O} + nFT \left(\frac{\partial E^{O}}{\partial T} \right)_{P}
$$

much more straightforward than calorimetry…

DETERMINING SOLUBILITY PRODUCTS

Recalling for the cell reaction $wW + xX \leq yY + zZ$

$$
E = E^{\rm O} - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \frac{a_Y^{\rm V} a_Z^{\rm Z}}{a_W^{\rm W} a_X^{\rm X}}
$$

We may note that since at equilibrium $E = 0$, then it must also be true (generically) that

$$
E^{O} = \frac{RT}{nF} \ln K_a
$$
 or, rearranged $K_a = e^{nFE^{O}/RT}$
could be used to measure/predict solubility products
of sparingly soluble salts, acid dissociation
constants of weak acids, etc.

DETERMINING SOLUBILITY PRODUCTS

Example:
$$
K_a = e^{nFE^o / RT}
$$

\n
$$
AgCl_{(s)} + e^{-} \leq Cl_{(aq)}^{-} + Ag_{(s)} \qquad 0.222
$$
\n
$$
Ag_{(s)} \leq Ag_{(aq)}^{+} + e^{-} \qquad -0.799
$$
\n
$$
AgCl_{(s)} \leq Ag_{(aq)}^{+} + Cl_{(aq)}^{-} \qquad -0.577
$$
\na lot easier than trying to measure a microgram of solid isolated from a liter of solution!

\n
$$
K_{sp} = \exp\left[\frac{(1)(96,485 \text{ C mol}^{-1})(-0.577 \text{ V})}{(8.3145 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1})(298 \text{ K})}\right]
$$
\n
$$
= 1.77 \times 10^{-10}
$$

Next: Ionic Free Energies of Formation