Theory of Hybrid Quantum Mechanics/Molecular Mechanics Methods (QM/MM)

> CHEM 4021/8021 Video VII.i

Motivation

Gain an understanding of solvent structure ... or protein environment, etc.

While maintaining the ability to make and break bonds

What about solvent structure?

• Can we treat the solvent with MM and the solute with QM?



Energy Expression:

 $\mathbf{E}_{\text{complete}} = \mathbf{E}_{\text{QM}} + \mathbf{E}_{\text{MM}} + \mathbf{E}_{\text{QM/MM}}$







- One electron interaction terms and electrostatics for interaction between QM and MM regions
- Point Charges! Must add non-bonded terms



• Boundaries Through Space

• Boundaries Through Bonds



- Compute the QM/MM interaction energy in a similar way to non-bonded FF interactions
- Use standard Lennard-Jones combining rules and assume the QM σ and ϵ values are the same for the atom type in the FF.
- α is a parameter to deal with charged versus neutral molecules to treat solvent polarization



- Example: Kaminski and Jorgensen's AM1/OPLS/ CM1 (AOC)
 - AM1 for the solute (Perform MC simulation)
 - OPLS for the solvent
 - Charges (q_i) for the solute are from the CM1 charge model
 - Treats polar solvation effects well (e.g. rotameric equilibria) but not as good for non-polar solvents
 - Treats solvation free energy effects along a reaction coordinate

Polarized/Unpolarized Interactions



- Allow the environment (MM part) to polarize the QM part
- Separate the interaction of the MM part with the solute electrons and the solute nuclei

Polarized/Unpolarized Interactions

 $\mathbf{H}_{\text{complete}} = \mathbf{H}_{\text{QM}} + \mathbf{H}_{\text{MM}} + \mathbf{H}_{\text{QM/MM}}$

- H_{MM} (Traditional FF)
- $H_{QM/MM}$ (Separated electrostatic + nonbonded part)
- How does H_{QM} change?

$$H^{QM} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \left\langle \mu \left| \nabla_{i}^{2} \right| \upsilon \right\rangle - \sum_{k} \left\langle \mu \left| \frac{Z_{k}}{r_{k}} \right| \upsilon \right\rangle + G_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{Recall from earlier}$$

$$H^{QM} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i}^{N} \nabla_{i}^{2} \left[-\sum_{i}^{N} \sum_{k}^{Solute} \frac{Z_{k}}{r_{ik}} - \sum_{i}^{N} \sum_{m}^{Atoms} \frac{q_{m}}{r_{im}} + \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} + \sum_{k < l} \frac{Z_{k}Z_{l}}{r_{kl}} \right]$$

Fully Polarized Interactions

- Use a polarizable FF where each MM atom type/molecule is assigned a polarizability tensor, α
- Allow for induced dipoles, $\mu_{ind} = \alpha E$, where E is the electric field
- Allow the induced dipoles to interact with QM system

Theory of Hybrid Quantum Mechanics/Molecular Mechanics Methods (QM/MM)

> CHEM 4021/8021 Video VII.ii

• What if we don't want to treat this:

• But THIS!





Can we consider the bulky portions of the ligand as MM?

How will we compute the energy if the partition crosses covalent bonds?



How will we compute the energy if the partition crosses covalent bonds?

> Mechanical Embedding



$$E_{complete} = E_{QM}^{small} + \left(E_{MM}^{big} - E_{MM}^{small}\right)$$





Integrated Molecular Orbital Molecular Mechanics Method (IMOMM)

- Align the atoms that the small and large region have in common (e.g. force cut bonds to be along the same vector)
- Within these constraints, write expressions for the gradient as sums of the MM and QM gradients
- Has also been generalized for QM/QM'

Our own N-layered Integrated molecular Orbital and molecular Mechanics (ONIOM)

This idea can be extended beyond two layers



$$\begin{split} E^{high}(\text{Real}) &\approx E^{ONIOM} = E^{low}(\text{Real}) + E^{medium}(\text{Intermediate}) + E^{high}(\text{Small}) \\ &- E^{low}(\text{Intermediate}) - E^{medium}(\text{Small}) \end{split}$$



Theory of Hybrid Quantum Mechanics/Molecular Mechanics Methods (QM/MM)

> CHEM 4021/8021 Video VII.iii

Beyond Sterics: Electrostatic Embedding

- Example: A large protein where charged residues in the MM region will polarize the QM region
- Extend our idea of a polarized/unpolarized partition for non-bonded terms
- Include terms for the bonds cut by the QM/ MM boundary!



Beyond Sterics: Electrostatic Embedding

• Cap QM region with H's. Compute H^{QM} like before.

$$H^{QM} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i}^{N} \nabla_{i}^{2} - \sum_{i}^{N} \sum_{k}^{Nuclei} \frac{Z_{k}}{r_{ik}} - \sum_{i}^{N} \sum_{m}^{Atoms} \frac{q_{m}}{r_{im}} + \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} + \sum_{k < l} \frac{Z_{k}Z_{l}}{r_{kl}}$$

• Don't compute for capping H atoms





Beyond Sterics: Electrostatic Embedding



- Bond stretching for bonds cut by the QM/MM boundary are treated with MM potential
- Angle bending $(atom_{MM}-atom_{MM}-atom_{QM})$ are treated with MM potential
- Fictitious bond angles involving capping atom (e.g. $atom_{QM}-atom_{MM}-H_{capping}$) have very large force constants
- Torsions also use MM potential when 2 MM and 2 QM or 3 MM and 1 QM atoms are involved.
- Sometimes charges must be modified as well

Case Study: Transition States in a Protein Environment - ONIOM QM:MM Modeling of Isopenicillin N Synthesis



^{*a*} $R = L - \alpha$ -amino- δ -adipoyl.

Scheme 1. General Reaction for Isopenicillin N Synthase Including the Proposed [Fe(IV)dO] Intermediate^{*a*}

Two layer ONIOM calculations: B3LYP is the high level of AMBER is the low level

Case Study: Transition States in a Protein Environment - ONIOM QM:MM Modeling of Isopenicillin N Synthesis



Choosing the Size of the QM Space



Case Study: Transition States in a Protein Environment -ONIOM QM:MM Modeling of Isopenicillin N Synthesis

Example of how the size of the QM region can impact your results



Figure 2. Geometries and spin populations for the intermediate with end-on bound dioxygen in the quintet state (${}^{5}2$ INT) optimized using the active-site model (silver) and the ONIOM QM:MM model (blue).

Case Study: Transition States in a Protein Environment -ONIOM QM:MM Modeling of Isopenicillin N Synthesis

