

# Notes on Computing Menthone Equilibrium Values

Chemistry 2312

September 29, 2022

Honors Organic Chemistry Laboratory

T. R. Hoye

A method for computing the equilibrium constant for the *cis*- and *trans*-menthone isomers is to determine the mole fraction of each component from a Boltzmann analysis of all of the important minimum energy conformations on the potential energy surface. The mole fractions for the subset of all conformations for the *trans* isomer and, separately, for the *cis* isomer can then be individually summed and those final two ratios compared to establish the computed equilibrium value from the free energy difference of the two states (i.e., the *cis* isomer and the *trans* isomer).

The mole fraction of any *i*th component of a set of *n* species in equilibrium with one another can be expressed by the Boltzmann probability distribution. One representation of this is:

$$\text{mole fraction of the } i\text{th component of } n \text{ species in equilibrium} = \frac{\exp\left(\frac{-E_i}{RT}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^n \exp\left(\frac{-E_i}{RT}\right)}$$

This information, even for large values of *n*, can be easily managed with an Excel spreadsheet. *It is instructive for you to create your own Excel worksheet from scratch.* I have posted on the course website PDFs of two “sheets,” the second shows the formulae for each cell; the first shows the actual values. I constructed the Excel spreadsheet I used to make these PDFs using data that a past 2312 student had calculated for her Monte Carlo multi-conformation search of the two menthone isomers using chloroform solvation. While there were ~18 minima found for each isomer, I only entered the data for those (eleven, in total) conformations that were within 15 kJ/mol (i.e., ~3.6 kcal/mol) of the global minimum energy conformer. This cutoff value of 15 kJ/mol was somewhat arbitrarily chosen, but it is safe to assume that any higher energy conformers will contribute such a small amount to the overall population that they can be readily ignored. At the bottom of the spreadsheet, I have also calculated the equilibrium constant (= 7.7) by only considering the lowest energy conformer (the so-called “global minimum”) for the *trans* isomer (t-1; 24.6 kJ/mol) with that of lowest energy conformer of the *cis* isomer (c-1; 30.3 kJ/mol). Notice that I have calculated the data at 65 °C (338 K), which you can spot as T in the EXP(cellname/RT) terms. Notice also that considering the population contributions from the eleven conformers rather than just two makes an appreciable difference in the computed  $K_{\text{eq}}$  (i.e., 7.7 vs. 5.2). Your exact numbers will differ from these because you will use water solvation and a different forcefield.

Create a similar spreadsheet and enter the data that you obtain for your two isomers following your MacroModel conformational search. Compute your  $K_{\text{eq}}$  by analogy to what I have done here. *Please use the water solvation model* (the best approximation for the polar protic solvent methanol that you will use for the equilibration experiment) and the Merck-modified force field (MMFM) that you use in your computations when you report your computed  $K_{\text{eq}}$ . **Include a one-paragraph description/discussion describing and summarizing the results of your calculations and turn in your completed Excel worksheet as part of Report #2.** Indicate the temperature you have used in your computation.