





The sodium carbonate base is strong enough to deprotonate the alcohol starting material; that wouldn't happen with an alcohol like ethanol ($pK_a = 16$), but it's possible in this case because of resonance stabilization of the conjugate base:



Br⊖

Ο

Ο

OCH₃

Here, both nucleophilic displacement steps are drawn S_N2 . Why? Would it have been okay to draw them S_N1 instead?

<u>No</u>. The first step I drew was on a primary (1°) bromide. Primary sites do substitution via S_N2 only. S_N1 would generate a primary cation intermediate, which would be too unstable to exist. The second substitution is at a secondary site, which could generate a secondary carbocation, but I don't like that carbocation very much (next page):



The carbocation ends up next to a partial positive charge from the carbonyl—**not good**. This wouldn't happen.

So, a bonus question—how might this mechanism be different if ethylene glycol ($pK_a = 16$) was used as the diol instead of catechol? (Answer at the end of this answer key.)







Same process with the other resonance adduct...



So earlier in this answer key I asked—how would ethylene glycol react differently from catechol in the second problem?



Sodium carbonate isn't basic enough to deprotonate ethylene glycol, so nucleophilic attack comes first:



